

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

# 1 Michael Goering (Special Needs Trust)

Case No. 0575302

Attorney: David N. Knudson (for Petitioners/Trustees Michael Poppacoda & Joyce Thomas)

Accounting Eighteenth Account and Report of Co-Trustees and Petition for its Settlement, for Approval and Allowance of Trustees Compensation and Attorney's Fees for Authority to Deposit Funds to Blocked Account; and for Instructions to make Payments to Related Party on Behalf of Beneficiary

		MICHAEL PAPPACODA and JOYCE	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		<b>THOMAS,</b> Co-Trustee, are petitioners.	
		Account period: 01/01/14 - 12/31/14	<ol> <li>Minute order dated 5/1/14 ordered the trustee's to itemize all fees on future accountings.</li> </ol>
Со	nt. from	Accounting - <b>\$220,315.88</b>	This accounting still has the
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH - \$184,000.55	trustees receiving a portion of
1	Verified	Ending POH - \$195,169.14	their fees as a percentage of the
╠	In. contour		property on hand. – <b>Please see</b>
	Inventory	Bond has been waived.	additional page for Declaration
	PTC	Trusto oc \$2 001 40/197	of Joyce Thomas regarding Trustee's Fees.
	Not.Cred.	Trustees - <b>\$2,901.69</b> (1% of the value of the assets for general	rrustee's rees.
✓	Notice of	services totaling \$1,951.69 plus 19 hours	
	Hrg	@ \$50/hr. (\$25/hr. for each trustee,	Note: A status hearing will be set as
✓	Aff.Mail W/	totaling \$950.00) for specific services	follows:
	Aff.Pub.	such as taking the beneficiary to	
	Sp.Ntc.	appointments that require the presence	Wednesday, July 15, 2015 at
	Pers.Serv.	of both trustees.)	9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing
	Conf.	20 000 50 /	of the receipt for blocked
	Screen	Attorney - \$2,902.50 (per	account.
	Letters	itemization and declaration, 8.65 hrs. at \$300/hr. attorney time and 4.10 hrs. @	Wednesday, February 24, 2016 at
	Duties/Supp	\$75/hr. paralegal time)	9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing
	Objections	Tyro, ru. paralogai ilirio,	of the nineteenth Account and
		Costs - <b>\$200.00</b> (filing	Report of Trustees.
	Video Receipt	fees	Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the
			required documents are filed 10
	CI Report	Petitioner's state pursuant to the Order	days prior to the hearings on the
✓	2620(c)	establishing the Trust, if the balance of	matter the status hearing will come
✓	Order	the Trustee's checking account exceeds \$10,000.00 excess funds are to be	off calendar and no appearance
		transferred into the Trust's blocked	will be required.
		account. The balance of the checking	
		account currently exceeds \$10,000.00.	
	Aff. Posting	Petitioners request the authority to	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	deposit the sum of \$10,000.00 to the	Reviewed on: 6/25/15
	UCCJEA	blocked account.	Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Please see additional page	File 1 - Goering

## 1 Michael Goering (Special Needs Trust)

Case No. 0575302

Petitioner states as set forth in this accounting and as affirmed in the accountings filed over more than 15 years, the trustees have made payments to Joyce Thomas, mother of the beneficiary, and the person in whose home he resides for his special needs. Payments made monthly include:

PG&E \$200.00 Care for the beneficiary \$200.00 Housekeeping services \$200.00 Cable TV \$200.00

In addition telephone and internet services are provided to the residence with a portion paid by the trust and the balance paid by Joyce Thomas. During the accounting payments to AT&T were approximately \$95.00 per month. In addition, the Trustees reimbursed Joyce Thomas for various items purchased for the beneficiary.

Petitioners requests the authority to continue making payments to Joyce for the benefit of the beneficiary, subject, always, to review by the court upon the filing of each annual accounting.

#### Petitioners pray as follows:

- 1. That the Eighteenth Account and Report of Trustee is approved, allowed and settled;
- 2. That all acts and transactions of the Trustee and shown in the account be approved;
- 3. That the Trustees be allowed the sum of \$2,901.69 for their services as Trustees;
- 4. That the Attorney for the Trustee be allowed the sum of \$2,902.50 for his legal services rendered to said Trustees plus costs of \$200.00 during the account period.
- 5. That Trustee be instructed an directed to continue making payments to Joyce Thomas for housekeeping services, care services, cable television and to pay an equitable share of the AT&T charges.
- 6. That the Trustees be authorized and directed to deposit the sum of \$10,000.00 to the trust's blocked account.

**Declaration of Joyce Thomas filed on 6/15/15 states** the hours expended each month as Trustee vary slightly, however, on a general basis the following duties are performed:

**Financial Management:** Ms. Thomas estimates that the total time expended on financial management is 3 – 4 hours per month.

**Vehicle Maintenance:** Ms. Thomas estimates that the total time expended on maintenance for the trust owned vehicle is 6 – 8 hours per year.

**Shopping Trips:** Ms. Thomas estimates that shopping trips with Michael average 8 – 10 hours per month. **Accounting and Record Keeping:** In addition to the financial matters above, Ms. Thomas states she spends approximately 4 – 6 hours per year.

Total time spent is approximately 13 hours per month or 156 hours per year. The requested fees for "ordinary services" of \$1,951.69 equates to \$12.51 per hour which is significantly lower than the \$25.00 requested in the itemized services in the petition.

Atty

Lauren Herzog Trust

Barron, Richard B. (for Perine & Dicken Professional Fiduciaries and Conservators)

Petition for Approval of Trustee's Supplemental Current, for Approval of Trustee's Fees and for Authorization to Compensate Counsel for the Trustee and for Final Distribution of Trust Assets

		PERINE & DICKEN PROFESSIONAL FIDUCIARIES, Co-Trustees	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		Ronald Dicken, Patricia Dicken, and Karen Steele, are	COMMENTS:
		Petitioners.	Note: On 0/1//14 the
CC	Ont from 060815	<b>Petitioners state</b> : On 9/17/14, the Court allowed Lauren to terminate the trust and the trustee was directed to assign	Note: On 9/16/14, the Court settled the Trustee's Fourth
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	to Lauren future rights in the annuities held by the trust and to retain a reserve of \$5,000.00 for final debts, taxes,	Account covering through 4/30/14, and
-	Verified	and administrative costs and to provide an informal	also authorized
┢	Inventory	accounting on or before 3/24/15. The Trustee has now	termination of the
	PTC	paid all remaining bills except for attorney's fees for this	trust, with supplemental
	Not.Cred.	report and account and have distributed all assets of the	account. Order
<b> </b>	Notice of	trust save and except for \$3,529.23.	Authorizing
	Hrg	Account period: 5/1/14 through 4/15/15	Termination of Trust was entered 9/17/14.
-	Aff.Mail W	Accounting: \$450,282.79  Beginning POH: \$419,304.46	was efficied 7/17/14.
	Aff.Pub.	Ending POH: \$ 3,529.23	1. Need receipt from
H	Sp.Ntc.	<b>1</b>	the beneficiary Lauren Herzog of
$\vdash$	Pers.Serv.	<b>Trustee: \$3,952.21</b> (.75%, payable monthly) (\$3,360.28 has already been paid at \$329.35 per month. Petitioner	distributions
	Conf.	requests payment of the balance of \$591.93.)	totaling
	Screen		\$429,746.88.
	Letters	<b>Note:</b> In addition to the trustee fees, broker fees of 1.5% are also paid to Merrill Lynch. Fees for this account period total	2. The Order Settling
	Duties/Supp	\$3,633.97.	the Fourth Account
	Objections	<b>4</b> ' `	entered 9/17/14
	Video	Attorney: \$1,329.00 (for 5.1 attorney hours @ \$250/hr and 0.6 bookkeeper hours @ \$90/hr, itemized at Exhibit B.	authorized attorney fees of
	Receipt	Note: Time includes 2.5 estimated hours for travel to	\$2,052.00, as
	CI Report	Fresno, appearance at hearing, and return travel, as well	requested in the
~	2620(c)	as 0.4 hours estimated time for final charges to distribute	petition.
~	Order	residue and close out file.)	However, the
		<b>Bond:</b> Current bond is \$576,000.00. Petitioner requests exoneration upon proof of distribution.	Disbursements Schedule reflects "Court approved
		<ol> <li>Petitioners pray for an Order:</li> <li>Finding that all facts stated int eh petition are true and that all notices required by law have been duly given;</li> <li>Settling the Trustee's Supplemental Account and confirming and ratifying all acts and transactions set forth therein;</li> <li>Allowing a fee of \$3,951.21 to the Trustee for services rendered through 4/15/15 and authorizing the Trustee to receive \$591.93 as the balance of their fee not yet paid;</li> </ol>	attorney fees" of \$6,143.46 paid on 10/27/14, plus \$90.00 paid on 1/15/15 and \$36.00 paid on 4/6/15 (total \$6,269.46).  Need clarification.
		4. Authorizing the Trustee to pay its attorney from trust funds the sum of \$1,329.00 for attorney's fees for services rendered through the hearing of this petition	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGE
	Aff. Posting	and for filing proofs of distribution and exoneration of	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	the Trustee's bond; 5. For an order discharging the Trustee and exonerating	<b>Reviewed on:</b> 6/25/15
	UCCJEA	its bond upon filing proof that the sums remaining in	Updates:
	Citation	the Trust have been distributed to the Beneficiary; and	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	6. For such other further relief as the court deems just.	File 2 - Herzog
<u> </u>	1	л	2

# Page 2 - NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont'd):

- 3. Attorney fee request includes .6 hours @ \$90/hr for the attorney's bookkeeper. The Court may require authority pursuant to Probate Code §2640(c), which appears to allow legal services for attorney and paralegal only, and Local Rule 7.17.B.4, which disallows clerical services as a cost of doing business.
- 4. The Court may require clarification regarding the estimated time included in the attorney fees. Note: Travel time to and from court is considered a cost of doing business and not reimbursable. The Court may require some reduction.
- 5. If the petition is granted as prayed, order should reflect distribution of the remaining dollar amount to the beneficiary. Local Rule 7.6.1.A.

<u>Update</u>: Declaration of Richard Barron filed 6/5/15 states the petition to settle the Fourth Account requested \$2,052 for services and costs through 5/31/14. On 7/31/14, Ms. Herzog notified the trustee of her election to terminate the trust. A petition to terminate was filed and with an order shortening time, heard on the same day as the Fourth Account. The order authorized the \$2,052 through 5/31/14, and Judge Oliver also authorized the trustee to retain \$5,000.00 and indicated that only an "informal accounting" was needed showing the deductions from the final reserve. On 9/30/14, the final bill was prepared which included charges from 6/1/14 through 9/30/14, which included research whether the annuities in the trust could be assigned to Ms. Herzog, advising the trustee concerning the procedure for terminating the trust, preparing the petition to terminate the trust, hearings on both petition, came to \$3,228.00, plus \$740.00 in costs to file the petitions and the request for order shortening time. See Exhibit A. When added to the fees authorized by Judge Oliver through 5/31/14, the total fees and costs came to \$6,143.46. This bill inadvertently included a charge of \$123.46 which should have been taken out. He did not seek prior authorization because he believed, based on Judge Oliver's order for an "informal accounting," that there would not be further hearing. In authorizing the trustee to retain \$5,000.00, he also assumed that Judge Oliver's intent was to authorize the trustee to expend up to that amount for final expenses, including attorneys fees, to terminate the trust without further court authorization.

Bookkeeper expenses: For smaller estates, it is the firm's practice to use employee Pamela Anthony to prepare the account rather than an outside accounting office. Ms. Anthony has been trained to follow the format required and has prepared more than 200 accountings for conservatorships and trusts, including in Fresno County. This issues has been previously addressed by this court and Ms. Anthony's charges approved. See Order dated 5/14/15 in re Josephine Diaz Special Needs Trust 11CEPR00138.

Travel: Mr. Barron was not aware that Fresno County does not allow travel charges and he is not aware of any other jurisdiction that has adopted such a rule. Such a rule is unfair and discriminatory to attorneys outside of Fresno who are forced to either absorb costs or decline cases. He considered the possibility of appearing telephonically, but decided to appear in person as there are two hearings being heard on the same day. The beneficiary intended to travel from the Los Angeles area to be present for the hearing to terminate in case Judge Oliver had questions for them, and he felt it would be appropriate to be present in person and respond to any questions Judge Oliver might have.

3 Atty

Jahnessa, 5   IRENE ALMERAS, paternal grandmother, was appointed guardian of the minors on 02/07/12 Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 05/26/15	Naveah, 6			MARIA GUERRERO, mother, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Verified   JIMENEZ - Consent & Waiver of Notice   filed 05/26/15	Со	Cont. from 041515,		was appointed guardian of the minors on 02/07/12. – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 05/26/15	Minute Order from 05/27/15 states: For the record, Monica Sifuentes is the maternal grandmother. The matter is continued for service as to
FTB Notice File 3 – Jimenez		Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation		JIMENEZ – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 05/26/15  Maternal grandfather: DECEASED Maternal grandmother: MONICA SIFUENTES – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 05/26/15  Petitioner requests that the guardianship be terminated [no reason stated].  Court Investigator Jo Ann Morris filed a	2. Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Termination of Guardianship or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for:  a. Feliciano Jimenez (father)  Reviewed by: JF  Reviewed on: 06/25/15  Updates:  Recommendation:

# 4 Theresa Rojas Sanchez (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR00028

Atty Durost, Linda K. (for Pat (Mary) Hernandez – successor Administrator)
Atty McCloskey, Daniel T. (Petitioner attorney for Loretta Ramos – heir)

Petition for Attorney's Fees and Costs

DC	D: 12/19/12		DANIEL T. MCCLOSKEY, attorney for Loretta Ramos, heir, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  CONTINUED FROM 05/27/15
1 1	nt. from 03241 2315	5,	<b>PAT HERNANDEZ</b> , sister, was appointed as successor Administrator with bond set at \$400,000.00 on 03/19/14 due to the death of the previous	Minute Order from 05/27/15 states: Mr. McCloskey makes an oral motion to strike the objection based on the untimely filing. The motion is
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		administrator, Manuel Rojas.	denied, but the Court allows time for
✓	Verified		D 1111	a response. The written response is to be filed by Mr. McCloskey no later
	Inventory		Petitioner requests fees in connection with his representation of Loretta	than 06/10/15.
	PTC		Ramos who is decedent's niece and	
	Not.Cred.		intestate heir. Petitioner states that his	
<b>✓</b>	Notice of		client was not initially listed as an heir in	
	Hrg		the Petition for Probate of Manuel	
<b>✓</b>	Aff.Mail	w/	Rojas. His representation resulted in	
	Aff.Pub.		the former administrator recognizing Ms. Ramos as an heir as well as several	
	Sp.Ntc.		other heirs that were initially left off the	
	Pers.Serv.		Petition for Probate. Further, his	
	Conf.		representation led to the	
	Screen		Administrator's (both former and	
	Letters		successor) being required to secure	
	Duties/Supp		bond and also locating additional assets of the estate valued at	
	Objections		approximately \$10,000.00, which	
	Video		benefitted all heirs of the estate.	
	Receipt			
	CI Report		Petitioner <b>asks that he be paid</b> from	
	9202		the estate \$2,807.46 in attorney's fees,	
<b></b>	Order		representing 11.55 hours @ \$250.00/hr., and costs in the amount of \$435.00 for	
	Aff. Posting		a total request of \$3,042.56.	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 06/25/15
	UCCJEA		Services are itemized by date and	Updates:
	Citation		include review of correspondence,	Recommendation: File 4 – Sanchez
	FTB Notice		meetings with client, and court appearance.	riie 4 – Sanchez
			Continued on Page 2	

Page 2

Objection to Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed 05/26/15 states: Mr. McCloskey is not the appropriate person to be requesting attorney's fees on behalf of his client. As an heir to the estate, Loretta Ramos is responsible for paying her attorney's fees. Mr. McCloskey did nothing to assist in the administration of the estate and if anything, he impeded the administration with his contentious correspondence and attitude toward the former Administrator and his attorney. When the decedent died, she had several living brothers and sisters all of whom were elderly and had health issues. The initial Administrator, Manuel Rojas (decedent's brother), was doing his best to ascertain the heirs and assets of the estate. The decedent was a hoarder and sorting through her assets took a very long time. Mr. Rojas was grieving the loss of his sister and had very little information about the identification and location of family members that had been estranged from the rest of the family for many years. As soon as he ascertained that information, a supplement to the petition was filed. Mr. McCloskey's contention that bond and additional estate assets were procured due to his involvement in the estate is false. Those things happened in the natural course of administering the estate and, if anything, Mr. McCloskey's correspondence was anger-producing for the former Administrator, Manuel Rojas.

The Court can see from the billing statements provided with the Petition that the majority of time spent was not Mr. McCloskey's time, but that of a paralegal and for office conferences with his paralegal/secretary and his client. He did no work in this file for over a year and a half until this Petition was filed. While he did work for the benefit of his client, none of that work was for the benefit of the estate, but was rather an impediment to moving the estate along. The Administrator respectfully requests that the Petition be denied in its entirety.

Reply in Support of Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed 06/10/15 states: The fees expended by Ramos were reasonable and necessary. Ramos disputes the declarations that the estate did not benefit by the information provided by Ramos' attorney regarding the existence of heirs and the existence of estate assets that were subsequently brought forward (the coin collection). Once Ramos was recognized as an heir, no further fees were incurred other than the cost of filing this Petition for Fees. If Ramos had not come forward, the personal representative would have distributed in contravention to the intestate succession statutes. Ramos and Schomers would not have received their share of the estate. Ramos' intervention with an attorney was reasonable because she was not a "core member" of the family and the personal representative would not talk to her. Ramos had no other alternative. The attorney's time spent was reasonable and Ramos' attorney located the heirs, with addresses and let the administrator know about the coin collection.

Ramos contends that it is not equitable that she should have to expend attorney's fees to be recognized as an heir. She contends that the then personal representative did not like Ramos, but knew of her existence and of Elizabeth Schomers, but did not disclose that and breached his duty to those heirs by fighting to disclose their existence in the petition. The Court should weigh the equities here and grant the petition for fees and costs and direct the Administrator to pay the fees from estate funds, or from the administrator's fees.

Atty

Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator – Successor Administrator – Petitioner)

Amended First and Final Account and Report of Successor Administrator and petition for Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary Commissions and Fees and for Distribution

DO	D: 10/8/13	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR, Successor Administrator, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		Petitioner.	COMMENTS:
		Account period: 8/20/14-4/2/15	Note: Third Declaration of
		Accounting: \$250,917.40	Gary G. Bagdasarian filed
Col	nt 052015	Beginning POH: \$237,605.63	5/19/15 states he filed a
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Ending POH: \$101,927.26 (cash)	declaration requesting additional credit of
-		Preliminary Distributions:	\$11,065.76 against the
	Verified	David Morris: \$28,000.00	surcharge of Nancy
<b>~</b>	Inventory	Diane (Wray) Rogers: \$28,000.00	Hamilton, which was
<b>V</b>	PTC	Nancy Hamilton: \$20,000.00 IFC: \$15,600.00	acknowledged in this amended account.
~	Not.Cred.	<u> </u>	However, he also filed a
<b> </b>	Notice of	Surcharge (Former Administrator Nancy Hamilton):	Second Declaration on
	Hrg	\$19,025.15 (based on what the Public Administrator was able to marshal, less receipts provided to	4/2/15 providing verification of an
~	Aff.Mail	attorney)	additional \$4,521.26,
	Aff.Pub.	Public Administrator (Statutory): \$8,018.35	which was not taken into
📖	Sp.Ntc.	j	account. These two
	Pers.Serv.	Attorneys (Statutory): \$8,018.35 The attorney for the Public Administrator and Gary Bagdasarian, attorney	amounts total \$15,587.02. Mr. Bagdasarian also
	Conf.	for the former Administrator, have agreed to split	states his request for costs
.4	Screen	statutory compensation as follows:	of \$1,002.00 was waived.
~	Letters	Gary Bagdasarian: \$5,018.35	Mr. Bagdasarian provides proposed distribution
	Duties/Supp	County Counsel: \$3,000.00	taking this information into
	Objections	Public Administrator (Extraordinary): \$1,248.00	consideration. Please see
	Video	(\$1,000.00 for the sale of the real property, per local	declaration for details.
	Receipt	rule, plus \$248.00 for preparation of tax returns)	1. Based on Mr.
	CI Report	County Counsel (Extraordinary): \$1,170.00	Bagdasarian's
<u> </u>	9202	(for 7.8 attorney hours @ \$150/hr)	declaration, further
	Order	Gary Bagdasarian (Extraordinary): \$4,372.50	amendment may be required, or further
		(per declaration, discounted from \$8,745.00, for 31.80	revised proposed
		hours @ \$275/hr in connection with the sale of the	order.
	Aff David	real property)	Davieus de la calca
	Aff. Posting	Costs: \$1002.00 (Attorney Bagdasarian, for filing,	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	publication, certified copies, appraisal)	Reviewed on: 6/25/15
	UCCJEA	Bond fee: \$156.84	Updates:
-	Citation ETP Notice	<b>Costs: \$537.00</b> (\$435.00 filing, plus \$102.00 processing)	Recommendation: File 6 - Morris
	FTB Notice	Closing: \$10,000.00 (for any possible outstanding	riie o - /woms
		taxes)	
		<u>'</u>	
		Distribution pursuant to intestate succession, assignments:	
		David Morris: \$6,888.79	
		Diane Wray: \$6,888.79	
		Nancy Hamilton: \$10,263.64	
		Inheritance Funding Company (Assignee): \$44,800.00	
<u> </u>			,

# 9 Alveon LaJuan Moultrie (GUARD/E)

Case No. 14CEPR00956

Guardian Alvin Moultrie (Pro Per – Father – Guardian of the Estate)
Status RE: Receipt of Blocked Account

		<b>ALVIN MOULTRIE</b> , Father, was appointed Guardian of the Estate without bond on	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		3/24/15.	Continued from 5/6/15, 5/27/15
Cont from 050615, 052715		The Court ordered \$29,750.00 to be placed into a blocked account and set this status hearing for the filing of the	Need Receipt and     Acknowledgment of Order for the     Deposit of Money into Blocked
Aff.Sub.W	ит.	receipt for blocked account.	Account (MC-356).
Inventory	,	The Court also ordered that \$435.00 be	2. Need Court filing fee \$435.00.
PTC		withdrawn from the blocked account	_
Not.Cred		for payment of the Court filing fee.	
Notice of			
Hrg			
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf.			
Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Su			
Objection	ns		
Video Receipt			
CI Report	•		
9202			
Order			
Aff. Postir			Reviewed by: skc
Status Rp	t		Reviewed on: 6/25/15
UCCJEA		-	Updates:
Citation		-	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	е		File 9 - Moultrie

## 10

Case No. 14CEPR00957

Aniyah La'Nay Moultrie (GUARD/E)

n Alvin Moultrie (Pro Per – Father – Guardian of the Estate) Guardian Status RE: Receipt of Blocked Account

	ALVIN MOULTRIE, Father, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Guardian of the Estate without bond on 3/24/15.	Continued from 5/6/15, 5/27/15
		2. Nood Boosink and
Cont from 050615,	The Court ordered \$29,750.00 to be placed into a blocked account and set	Need Receipt and     Acknowledgment of Order for the
052715	this status hearing for the filing of the	Deposit of Money into Blocked
Aff.Sub.Wit.	receipt for blocked account.	Account (MC-356).
Verified	The Court also ordered that \$435.00 be	4. Need Court filing fee \$435.00.
Inventory	withdrawn from the blocked account	4. Need Cool lilling lee \$405.00.
PTC	for payment of the Court filing fee.	
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 6/25/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 10 - Moultrie

10

# Nathan Finney, Breanna Finney and Rikki Finney (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00122

Stone, Tennison Anita (Pro Per – Step-Mother – Petitioner)
Finney, Breanna Marie (Pro Per – Minor – Petitioner)
Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510) Atty Atty

13

	remon to Appointment of Godraidit of the Feis	
	See petition, objection for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
		COMMENTO.
		Continued from 4/8/15, 5/20/15.
Cont. from 040815,		
052015		1. Mailed service to the minor
Aff.Sub.Wit.		Nathan is insufficient. Need
✓ Verified		personal service of pursuant
Inventory		to Probate Code §1511 on Nathan Finney
PTC		(Minor, age 16).
Not.Cred.		(minor, age 10).
✓ Notice of X		
Hrg		
✓ Aff.Mail W		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Y Pers.Serv. W		
✓ Conf.		
Screen		
✓ Letters		
✓ Duties/Supp		
✓ Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
✓ CI Report		
✓ Clearances		
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 6/25/15
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 13 – Finney

13

Attorney: Mark S. Poochigian, for Petitioner Nancy Valdez Mendez

(1) Petition for Orders Invalidating Power of Attorney, (2) Rescinding Purported Grant Deed, (3) Ordering Conveyance of Property, (4) Imposing Damages Under Probate Code Section 859, (5) Finding Respondent Liable for Elder Abuse, (6) Imposing Constructive Trust, and (7) Determining Entitlement to Property Under Probate Code Section 259

DOD:	DOD: 10/7/2013		
	from 042215,		
0520	1		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
<b>√</b>	Notice of		
	Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
✓	Pers.Serv.	W/	
	Conf.		
	Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video		
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order	Χ	
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NANCY VALDEZ MENDEZ, daughter, is Petitioner.

#### Petitioner states:

- On or before 8/12/2009, Decedent was diagnosed by Alex Sherriffs, M.D. with severe dementia with or of the Alzheimer's type, indicating at that time the Decedent's memory problems represented a significant threat to her safety and noting that she suffered from severe cognitive impairment overall which compromised her ability to make good judgments;
- On 7/19/2010, Decedent purportedly executed a General Power of Attorney and Nomination of Conservator (copy attached as Exhibit A); at the time the purported Power of Attorney was allegedly executed, the Decedent was totally impaired and lacked the requisite mental capacity to execute a power of attorney; Petitioner contents the purported Power of Attorney is invalid ab initio;
- The purported Power of Attorney appointed JOEL VALDEZ as Decedent's attorney-in-fact and provided that in the event of his inability to serve, then EDNA T. VALDEZ shall serve as attorney-in-fact; Joel Valdez died 2/13/2011;
- On 3/28/2012, EDNA T. VALDEZ-GILMORE
  purportedly as attorney-in-fact for Decedent
  purportedly executed a purported Grant
  Deed granting to herself real property on
  Turner Ave. in Fresno (copy of Grant Deed
  attached as Exhibit B);
- Petitioner requests this Court rescind and nullify the purported Grant Deed;

~Please see additional page~

#### NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:

<u>Continued from</u>
<u>5/20/2015</u>. Minute
Order states counsel advises they are in settlement discussions.

Note: Petitioner NANCY VALDEZ MENDEZ was appointed Administrator with full IAEA with bond of \$160,000.00 (posted 5/5/2015); Letters issued 5/5/2015.

 Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1(F) providing that a proposed order shall be submitted with all pleadings that request relief.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 6/25/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 14 - Valdez

#### Case No. 15CEPR00253

#### Petitioner NANCY VALDEZ MENDEZ states, continued:

- First Cause of Action Invalidity of Purported Power of Attorney: Petitioner alleges that at the time of the
  alleged execution of the purported Power of Attorney, Decedent was not of sound mind, she was totally
  impaired, and lacked the requisite mental capacity to execute a power of attorney; Petitioner requests
  a judicial determination pursuant to Probate Code § 4541 and 4542 that the purported Power of
  Attorney was void ab initio due to the mental incapacity of the Decedent at the time of the execution
  of the purported Power of Attorney;
- Second Cause of Action Rescission and Nullification of Purported Grant Deed on the basis of the
  Principal's Lack of Capacity to Execute Purported Power of Attorney: Petitioner alleges that at the time of
  the alleged execution of the purported Power of Attorney, Decedent was not of sound mind, she was
  totally impaired, and lacked the requisite mental capacity to execute a power of attorney; Petitioner
  requests that the purported Grant Deed be rescinded and declared null and void ab initio on the basis
  of invalidity of the purported Power of Attorney;
- Third Cause of Action Rescission and Nullification of Purported Grant Deed on the basis of Undue Influence in procuring the purported Power of Attorney: Petitioner alleges the purported Power of Attorney was executed as a direct result of undue influence exerted by Joel Valdez and Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore, consisting of: making misrepresentations to Decedent and manipulated her in her compromised mental state; without notifying Decedent's family members, took advantage of her diminished capacity and surreptitiously arranged for Decedent to sign the purported Power of Attorney; Decedent was aged and inform and suffering from dementia and as a result she was easily influenced and controlled by Joel Valdez and Edna T. Valdez, who actively procured the purported Power of Attorney as part of a pattern of conduct aimed at wrongfully gaining control of Decedent's property;
- Fourth Cause of Action Rescission and Nullification of Purported Power of Attorney on basis of Fraud: By engaging in these acts, Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore made fraudulent representations to Decedent to induce her to sign the purported Power of Attorney, knowing they were false and with the intent to induce Decedent to sign the purported Power of Attorney, so that Edna could convey the Decedent's real property to herself per the purported Power of Attorney; as a result of her fraudulent acts, Petitioner has sustained damages in an amount to be proven at trial; Edna's acts were done maliciously, oppressively and with intent to defraud Decedent; punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to Civil Code § 3294 should be awarded in an amount to be proven at trial;
- Fifth Cause of Action Transfer of Property under Probate Code § 850: All of Decedent's assets that Edna wrongfully obtained from Decedent should be returned to Decedent's estate, with any other benefits received during Edna's possession of assets, plus interest on the assets based on the market value at the time of death during the period Edna had the assets in her possession; Decedent died having a claim to real and personal property, including the subject real property; the Court may make an order under Probate Code § 850(a)(2)(D) directing Edna to execute a conveyance or transfer of the real property wrongfully taken by her; Edna took Decedent's property wrongfully and in bad faith; Decedent's estate is entitled to recover from Edna twice the value of the property taken pursuant to Probate Code § 859;

~Please see additional page~

## 14 Second Additional Page, Margaret Valdez (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00253

#### Petitioner NANCY VALDEZ MENDEZ states, continued:

- Sixth Cause of Action Elder Abuse under Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610 et seq.: At all relevant times Decedent was an elder as defined by W&I § 15610.27, and Edna knew or should have known Decedent was an elder and she reposed in Edna her trust and confidence; Edna usurped powers granted under purported Power of Attorney to upset what would otherwise have been distribution of Decedent's assets so Edna would receive the property and Decedent's other children would receive nothing; [W&I definition of elder abuse omitted]; Edna violated W&I § 15610.30 by wrongful acts, misrepresentations, and omissions; Edna took, secreted, misappropriated or retained Decedent's property including the subject real property, and did so to a wrongful use or with the intent to defraud Decedent; as a direct and proximate result of Edna's violations, Decedent suffered pecuniary loss in an amount to be proven at trial according to proof; under W&I Code, the following is requested be awarded by Court to the Petitioner: reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; punitive damages; an attachment issued (by application of writ of attachment); fine or penalty up to 3 times greater than authorized by statute; all against Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore for the unlawful acts that constitute the financial abuse committed by Edna against Decedent;
- Seventh Cause of Action Breach of Fiduciary Duty: Edna Valdez-Gilmore, by virtue of purportedly being Decedent's agent under the purported Power of Attorney is a fiduciary to Decedent, and as such owed fiduciary duties to Decedent; by engaging in the acts and omissions, Edna breached her fiduciary duties owed to Decedent; as a result of Edna's breach of fiduciary duties, Decedent suffered damages, the exact amount of which will be proven at trial; the acts of Edna were done maliciously, oppressively and with the intent to defraud Decedent so that punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to Civil Code § 3294 should be awarded in an amount to be ascertained at the time of trial according to proof;
- **Eighth Cause of Action Imposition of Constructive Trust:** By virtue of Edna's exertion of undue influence over Decedent, Respondent holds title to all assets that she received from the Decedent, including the subject real property, as well as all income derived from such assets, as constructive trustee for the benefit of the persons entitled to distribution of Decedent's estate.

#### Petitioner prays for and Order of the Court:

- 1. Finding the purported Power of Attorney to be invalid ab initio;
- 2. Rescinding and nullifying the purported Grant Deed;
- 3. Finding that Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore is liable for fraud;
- 4. Ordering the conveyance of all property wrongfully obtained from the Decedent by Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore, including the subject real property, back to the estate of the Decedent;
- 5. Imposing damages under Probate Code § 859;
- 6. Finding that Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore is liable for elder abuse pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610 et sea.;
- 7. Imposing a constructive trust on all assets that Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore received from the Decedent, including without limitation the subject real property as well as all income derived from such assets;
- 8. For attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.30;
- 9. For punitive and exemplary damages against Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore in a sum sufficient to punish and make an example of Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore;
- 10. Declaring that Edna T. Valdez-Gilmore forfeited her interest in any recovery of any damages and costs awarded under this action in the Decedent's property, and that her interest shall instead be distributed as though she predeceased the Decedent without issue; and
- 11. Awarding attorneys' fees and costs to Petitioner.

Mariah Halie Yoakum (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00290 Zahariades, Marlene (Pro Per – Paternal Grandmother – Petitioner) 16 Petitioner

### Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

	See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
	, coo p cannot a cannot	COMMENTS:
Cont. from 052015		Continued from 5/20/15. As of 6/25/15, nothing further has been filed by Petitioner. The following issues remain:
✓ Verified		Need Notice of Hearing.
Inventory		2. Need proof of personal
PTC		service of Notice of
Not.Cred.		Hearing with a copy of the petition at least 15
✓ Notice of		days prior to the hearing
Hrg		pursuant to Probate
✓ Aff.Mail W		Code §1511 <u>or</u> consent and waiver of notice <u>or</u>
Aff.Pub.		declaration of due
Sp.Ntc.		diligence on:
✓ Pers.Serv.		- Skyler Yoakum (Father)
Conf.		- Laraina Alcantar
Screen		(Mother)
Leners		3. Need proof of service of
✓ Duties/Supp		Notice of Hearing with a
Objections		copy of the petition at
Video Receipt		least 15 days prior to the hearing pursuant to
✓ CI Report		Probate Code §1511 <u>or</u> consent and waiver of
✓ Clearances		notice <u>or</u> declaration of
✓ Order		due diligence on: - Kristy Jones (Maternal Grandmother) - Maternal Grandfather - Paternal Grandfather - Paternal Grandmother
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 6/25/15
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 16 - Yoakum

16

# 17 Eric Edward Rodriguez-Perez, Arelid Gamez & Alexander Gamez, Jr. (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00291

Petitioner: Jose Ricardo Rodriguez, JR (pro per)

## Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

	Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)		
	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 7/1/15.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Cont. from 052015 Aff.Sub.Wit.	JOSE RICARDO RODRIGUEZ, Jr., maternal uncle, is petitioner.  Please see petition for details.	Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice on:	
✓ Verified  Inventory  PTC  Not.Cred.  Notice of X  Hrg	Court Investigator Report filed on 5/12/15.	<ul> <li>a. Edward Perez (Eric's father) – unless the court dispenses with notice.</li> <li>b. Alexander Gamez (Arelid &amp; Alexander's father) – unless the court dispenses with notice.</li> </ul>	
Aff.Mail X Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. X  Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video	= = = = = =	<ol> <li>Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on:         <ol> <li>Eric's paternal grandparents</li></ol></li></ol>	
Receipt  ✓ CI Report  9202  ✓ Order		Note: There is a proof of service filed for Alexander Gamez Mendoza, Dora Miriam Mendoza and Jose A. Gamez however it is unclear what their relationship to the minors is.  3. Petition does not list the names and addresses of all paternal grandparents and the maternal grandfather.	
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT	
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 6/25/15	
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:	
Citation		Recommendation:	
FTB Notice		File 17 - Rodriguez & Gamez	